UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,488	07/15/2003	Ravi L. Sahita	5038-248	9072
32231 7590 11/04/2008 MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C Intel 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			ENG, DAVID Y	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Comments	10/620,488	SAHITA, RAVI L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID Y. ENG	2455				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
tatus						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	July 2008					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, -	100 0.0. 210.				
isposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-30</u> is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
pplication Papers						
· ·	or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar	Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application				



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are pending. Claims 16-26 are allowed. Claims 1-15 and 27-30 are rejected.

Specification

The summary of the invention submitted on 11/27/2007 is no different than the claims. The summary should point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art and may include a statement of the object of the invention. A summary in accordance with 37 CFR 1.73 and MPEP § 608.01(d) is requested.

Response

In the communication filed on 7/25/2008, Applicants declined to submit a summary of the invention in accordance with 37 CFR 1.73 and MPEP § 608.01(d) for the reason that the summary is optional because the Rule uses the words "may" or "should". A summary of the invention is for the benefit of Applicants and the public. The current summary of the invention is objected to because it is no different than the independent claim. The summary of the invention in the form of a claim does not serve its intended purpose. A claim is not a summary of the invention.

Section 112 Rejection

In view of the amendment filed on 7/25/2008, the Section 112, 2nd paragraph Rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11, 13-15 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gai (USP 6,892,237).

Details of the rejection have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

Response

In the second paragraph of page 10 of communication, Applicants appear to contend that the Gai reference teaches outputting element 500 from a memory whereas Applicants teaches writing element into the memory. The argument is not persuasive. Note that a memory is for storing what is inputted in there and outputting what is stored in there. If there is no storing, there would not be any outputting. Gai therefore teaches writing element into the memory.

In the third full paragraph of page 10, Applicants rely on the disclosure in the specification for patentability. Note that limitations in the specification should not read into the specification.

In the second last paragraph of page 10, Applicants appear to contend that masking is not inherent in CAM. CAM stands for content address memory. Masking is inherent in CAM because it is required to mask bits for comparison.

Applicants fail to provide any patentability arguments. Simply pointing out what a claim requires with no attempt to point out how the claims patentably distinguish over the prior art does not amount to a separate argument for patentability. Applicants fail to explain why the claimed invention is patentable over Gai. As explained in the paragraphs above, Gai teaches all the features in the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,488 Page 5

Art Unit: 2455

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG whose telephone number is 571-272-3984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SALEH NAJJAR, can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID Y. ENG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455